

Britta M. Gossel, Andreas Will, Julian Windscheid

Trends in technology and the future of media management (research)?

URN: urn:nbn:de:gbv:ilm1-2019200041

This publication was produced within the framework of:

Annual Conference of the European Media Management Association, Ghent (Belgien), 11.-12-05-2017.

Technische Universität Ilmenau
Department of Economic Science and Media
Institute of Media and Communication Science
Media and Communication Management Group

Ehrenbergstraße 29
D-98693 Ilmenau – Germany



Submission for EMMA Conference 2017

Trends in technology and the future of media management (research)?

Keywords: technology development, media management research, bricolage

Britta M. Gossel
britta.gossel@tu-ilmenau.de

Andreas Will
andreas.will@tu-ilmenau.de

Julian Windscheid
julian.windscheid@tu-ilmenau.de

+49 3677 / 69-4619

+49 3677 / 69-4708

+ 49 3677 / 69-4680

Abstract

Recently, a debate arose about the question, how media management research can be lifted to a “next stage development in theorisation and relevance for practice” (Picard & Lowe, 2016: 61; cf. also Mierzejewska & Shaver, 2014; Achtenhagen, 2016; Küng, 2016). Beside concrete suggestions e.g. for theory development and research methodology (Küng, 2016: 4ff), for a better connection to general management research debate and for a better education of doctoral students and young scholars (Achtenhagen, 2016: 121), the debate includes several valuable approaches on the role of emerging technologies for the field which can be systematized as follows.

(1) Regarding the field development in general, Picard & Lowe (2016) highlighted that media management research can be shaped by the influence of technologies (ibid: 66). Küng (2016) pointed out that media industries are facing extreme change (ibid: 1). Mierzejewska & Shaver (2014) identified technological content diffusion as key change impacting media management research (ibid: 47). (2) Emerging technologies shape conceptual work and empirical research within the field, regarding (a) core issues as media companies (Hess, 2014), specific media industries and products (e.g. broadcasting (Murray, 2013); publishing (Blankfield & Stevenson, 2012); news (Ottosen & Krumsvik, 2012)), business models (Lawson-Borders, 2010), value chain (Kehoe & Mateer, 2015) or media branding (Chan-Olmsted, 2011) and (b) core methods of research (Murthy, 2008; Gunzerath, 2012). However, reflecting both dimensions, the relevance of emerging technologies for media management scholarship were not yet explored systematically on a level of deeper analysis and higher abstraction. Our contribution is aiming at closing this gap.

According to Rotolo, Hicks & Martin (2015), we define emerging technologies as “a radically novel and relatively fast growing technology characterised by a certain degree of coherence persisting over time and with the potential to exert a considerable impact on the socio-economic domain(s) which is observed in terms of the composition of actors, institutions and patterns of interactions among those, along with the associated knowledge production processes. Its most prominent impact, however, lies in the future and so in the emergence phase is still somewhat uncertain and ambiguous” (ibid). Starting with this definition, we ask the question: *How will emerging technologies shape the future of media management research?*

Following Shepherd (2016) who suggests “If we return to the perspective (or delusion) that as researchers we can think and act entrepreneurially, then we can at least think about what we know about the identification of entrepreneurial opportunities to approach the identification of research opportunities” (ibid: 8), , we aim to explore this question in a novel way that includes both, an analytical and a constructive perspective:

1.) Analysis of emerging technologies

By an in-depth literature review, we aim to capture recent trends in technology development. To do so, we explore the cutting edge of technology development as published in science with support of the IEEE community (Xplore Digital Library from 2010-2016) as well as in trend reports (e.g. Reuters Journalism, Media and Technology Predictions 2016; Accenture Technology Vision 2016; Deloitte. Technology, Media & Telecommunications Predictions 2016) and publications of media professional associations. On a level of higher abstraction, we deduce 10 to 15 trends of emerging technologies.

2.) Analysis of media management as research field

By a structured review of the literature on media management as a research field (Albarran, Chan-Olmsted & Wirth, 2006; Küng, 2008; Picard, 2011; von Rimscha & Siegert, 2014; Hollifield, LeBlanc Wicks & Sylvie, 2015; Lowe & Brown, 2016), we aim to paint a map of media management as field of research. We aim to differentiate (a) areas of research that include media organizations (products, business models, value chain...), media industries (markets, internationality, ...) and media management (strategy, leadership, growth, ...) and (b) methods of research. According to the common ground of the field, we suggest a framework of media management research.

3.) Construction of potential questions of the future

In a third step we bring both analyses together by applying 'bricolage' as an entrepreneurial technique to create new questions of relevance for media management research. Bricolage can be assumed as "combinations of the resources at hand to new problems and opportunities" (Baker & Nelson, 2005). Applied in science, e.g. in organization theory, bricolage "refers to the assembly of different knowledge elements that are readily available to the researcher" (Boxenbaum & Rouleau, 2011: 281). By combining existing elements from both analyses (1. & 2.), we aim to create questions of relevance for the future of media management research.

By combining scientific analysis and entrepreneurial construction, we aim to provide the EMMA community with new questions and dimensions of thought to inspire their and our future research.

References

- Achtenhagen, L. (2016). Developing media management scholarship: a commentary to Picard and Lowe's essay. *Journal of Media Business Studies*. Vol. 13 (2), p117-123.
- Albarran AB.; Chan-Olmsted, SM. & Wirth, MO. (2006). *Handbook of Media Management and Economics*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Baker, T. & Nelson, R. (2005). Creating Something from Nothing: Resource Construction through Entrepreneurial Bricolage. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 50, p329-366.
- Boxenbau, E. & Rouleau, L. (2011). New Knowledge Products as Bricolage: Metaphors and Scripts in Organizational Theory. *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 36 (2), p272-296.
- Blankfield, S. & Stevenson, I. (2012). Towards a Digital Spine: The Technological Methods that UK and US Publishers are Using to Tackle the Growing Challenge of E-Book Piracy. *Public Research Quarterly*, Vo. 28, p79-92.
- Chan-Olmsted, S. (2011). Media Branding in a Changing World: Challenges and Opportunities 2.0. *The International Journal on Media Management*, Vol. 13 (1), p3-19.
- Gunzerath, D. (2012). Current Trends in U.S. Media Measurement Methods. *The International Journal on Media Management*, Vol. 14 (2), p99-106.

Hess, Thomas (2014). What is a Media Company? A Reconceptualization for the Online World. *The International Journal on Media Management*, Vol. 16 (1), p3-8.

Hollifield, C.; LeBlanc Wicks, J. & Sylvie, G. (2015). *Media Management. A Casebook Approach*. 5th Edition. Routledge.

Kehoe, K. & Mateer, J. (2015). The Impact of Digital Technology on the Distribution Value Chain Model of Independent Feature Films in the UK. *The International Journal on Media Management*, Vol. 17 (2), p93-108.

Küng, L. (2008). *Strategic Management in the Media. Theory to practice*. SAGE: London.

Küng, L. (2016). Why is media management research so difficult – and what can scholars do to overcome the field's intrinsic challenges?, *Journal of Media Business Studies*, Vol. 13 (2), p1-7.

Lawson-Borders, G. (2010). More than a Mouse Trap: Effective Business Models in a Digital World. *The International Journal on Media Management*, Vol. 12 (1), p41-45.

Mierzejewska, B. & Shaver, D. (2014). Key Changes Impacting Media Management Research. *The International Journal on Media Management*, Vol. 16 (2), p47-54.

Murray, AM. (2013). Rationalizing Creativity – Rationalizing Public Service: Is Scheduling Management Fit for the Digital Era? *The International Journal on Media Management*, Vol. 15 (1), p119-136.

Murthy, D. (2008). Digital Ethnography: An Examination of the Use of New Technologies for Social Research. *Sociology*, 42 (5), p837-855.

Ottosen, R. & Krumsvik, AH. (2012). Digital Challenges on the Norwegian Media Scene. *Nordicom Review*, Vo. 33 (2), p43-55.

Picard, R. (2011). *The Economics and Financing of Media Companies*. 2nd Edition. Fordham University Press: New York.

Picard, R.G. & Lowe, G.F. (2016). Questioning media management scholarship: four parables about how to better develop the field. *Journal of Media Business Studies*, Vol. 13 (2), p61-72.

Rotolo, D.; Hicks, D. & Martin, B. (2015). What is an emerging Technology? *Research Policy*, Vol. 44 (10), p1895-1984.

Shepherd, D.A. (2016). *The Aspiring Entrepreneurship Scholar: Strategies and Advice for a Successful Academic Career*. Palgrave MacMillan London, U.K.

von Rimscha, B. & Siegert, G. (2015). *Medienökonomie: Eine problemorientierte Einführung*. Springer VS: Wiesbaden.